**Institutional Review Checklist**

For institutional endorsement by the Office of Research and to ensure submission in a timely manner, a draft of the proposal, budget and budget justification must be submitted to our office for review no later than 4 working days in advance.

Once Pre-Award is made aware of intent to submit:

1. E-mail PI request RFP and attach routing form, encourage them to start routing early.
2. Print and review RFP, tag and make note of:

\*Submission deadline

\*Assign internal deadline 4 days in advance

\*Note letter of intent requests

\*Project start date and end date

\*Submission type (grants.gov, FastLane, etc.)

\*Note ERA Commons requirements for NIH submissions

\*Submission instructions (PDF, e-mail, file name specs.)

\*Special instructions (note signature pages, confirm PI and institutional eligibility, limited submission)

\*Maximum award amount and number of proposals to be awarded

\*IDC limitations

\*Cost share requirements (if third party cost sharing PI must obtain letters within 7 working days)

\*Supplemental pay/Stipends

\*Subcontract requirements (proposal approved by SPO required for any proposed subcontractors)

\*IRB approval and other assurances

\*Award notification dates

\*Grant officer contact information and CFDA #

\*Distance Learning

\*Renovation/Construction *(Provost approval required prior to submission)*

\*Courses/Computers *(Provost approval required prior to submission)*

1. Print application instructions
2. E-mail PI with attention comments tagged in RFP review. Also advise PI of the internal deadline (4 working days in advance) and request routing form to be completed and routed with a final proposal and budget. Request a draft budget and scope of work be sent to our office earlier for internal review. Request a meeting to visit with PI regarding proposal guidelines and submission processes. Attach in e-mail another copy of the routing form and the application instructions and submission document or link.
3. Start folder (make label) to include RFP and instructions. Print all e-mail correspondence for folder.
4. Once the routing form is received (complete with cost sharing account numbers, etc.) and before the proposal is submitted, send it out for additional signatures as required.
5. Add completed Routing Form, Restricted Research Form and Attention Sheet to folder.
6. Obtain signature of AVP on all internal documents before submitting
7. As the deadline approaches keep in contact as to the progress of the submission.

Proposal Review and Submission:

1. Review proposal and submission application (make sure all institutional identifiers are correct)
2. Review budget
   1. Salaries, wages and benefits
   2. Confirm F&A rate
   3. Confirm cost share
   4. Confirm other direct costs totals
3. Review Assurances, see routing form
4. Complete error check
5. Print final proposal and submission confirmation and file in folder

**LOGISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS**

**Principal Investigator (PI)**

Is the PI clearly identified in the proposal? Does the proposal coversheet minimally provide her/his name, phone, email address for contacting? Is the PI a full time faculty member? Does the PI have an actual or potential financial conflict of interest in relationship to externally sponsored projects?

**Other Faculty or Researchers Involved**

Are additional faculty or researchers participating in the proposed project? In what capacity would they participate (co-investigators, research associates)? What indication do you have that they have agreed to be involved in the project? Are all salaried positions actually employees of this institution?

**Department and College Approval**

Have the department chair, dean and/or other appropriate official reviewed the proposal and approved the levels of effort and commitment in space and resources required for the project?

**Type of Application**

Is this proposal a new application, a competitive renewal, a noncompetitive renewal, a supplemental request or a budget revision? Is the application for a federal grant or contract? Have the appropriate forms and format been used? Have the forms been completed correctly?

**Facilities/Construction**

Is adequately equipped space available to conduct the project? Will extra space need to be assigned to the PI for the execution of the project? If so, have the appropriate institutional personnel agreed to these commitments?

**Level of Effort**

What level of effort has each investigator committed for the project? Is the level of effort stated reasonable?

Will the level of effort proposed be commensurate with the actual costs that will be charged to the award?

**Commitments/Match**

Does the proposal promise institutional commitments to staff beyond the project period of the award? Are cost-sharing requirements allowable and supportable? Will new employees be hired using institutional funds?

**Curricular Programs**

Does the proposal involve a new curricular program? If so, has the Academic Affairs Dean/College or other such appropriate official given approval for the proposal to be submitted?

**Human Subjects**

Does the project involve human subjects? If so, has the proposal been submitted to the appropriate human participant IRB for review and/or already have approval from the IRB?

**Use of Animals in Research**

Does the project involve the use of vertebrate animals? If so, has the proposal been submitted for review and/or already have approval from Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee? (Notify AVPR)

**Research Risks**

Does the project involve the use of any hazardous, toxic, or carcinogenic materials, chemicals or

recombinant DNA? (Notify AVPR)

**Patents and Copyrights**

Does the proposal contain a potential patent or copyright? Are there restrictions indicated in the agency guidelines? (Notify AVPR)

**Publishing**

Does the agency or sponsor impose any restrictions on the PI's or GRA's from freely publishing research results?

**Terms and Conditions**

Are contract clauses accurate and consistent with TAMU-CC policies and procedures?

**Type of Project**

Is type or purpose of project clearly defined? i.e., restricted research, instruction, outreach service.

**FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS**

**Budget**

Have the correct budget categories been used? Are all the budget costs allowable according to cost principles? According to Cost Accounting Standards? Is the proposed budget arithmetically correct? Are estimated costs proposed in the manner in which the costs will be expended? Can all costs be supported? Do all budget forms agree?

**Fringe Benefits**

Have the current approved rates been used and correctly applied to the proper salary bases?

**Facilities and Administrative Costs**

Has the appropriate indirect cost rate been used and applied to correct MTDC base?

**Travel/Equipment/Other Direct Costs**

Are the proposed costs necessary for the proper conduct of the project? Are the costs reasonable, allowable and in accordance with sponsor guidelines?

**Institutional Facilities**

Does the proposal involve use of institutional facilities (e.g. animal care, computing facility, TV station, conference center, research facility)?

**Cost Sharing or Matching Funds**

Does the proposed project require funds from the institution to support this project, other than the contributed effort (if any) of project personnel and associated fringe benefits and indirect costs?

If so, have the sources of these funds been identified and committed to this project?

**Subcontractors**

Is a budget included for each proposed subcontractor? Does the proposal contain a letter of commitment from each subcontractor, by their institution, indicating their willingness to participate in the project?