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## REPORT SUMMARY

TAMU-CC students who applied for graduation for the 2011-2012 academic year were invited to participate in a Graduating Student Survey to offer input about their experiences at the University. Participation in the questionnaire was voluntary and confidential. Both undergraduate and graduate students with valid email addresses who applied for graduation by the posted deadlines for the three semesters of the academic year were invited to participate.

## KEY FINDINGS

## Demographics

The demographic composition of the respondent population is fairly representative of the student body population. Male students are slightly underrepresented in the respondent population.

## Undergraduate Student Development

8 of the 15 Undergraduate Student Development items increased in combined impact when compared to AY 10-11.

The following items reflect the largest increases of combined impact:

- Analyzing political and economic phenomena (54.0\%: increase of 4.9\%).
- Understanding the present as it relates to historical events/processes (69.3\%: increase of 4.5\%).

The following items reflect the largest decreases of combined impact:

- Understanding the scientific method of problem analysis (69.1\%: decrease of 3.1\%).
- Understanding professional and ethical principles (81.7\%: decrease of 2.9\%).

The items receiving the highest combined positive ratings of general impact in Undergraduate Student Development are as follows:

- Developing the ability to make inferences by combining different ideas or facts (89.3\%).
- Appreciating the need for formal and informal lifelong learning (88.1\%).
- Having tolerance for different points of view (84.0\%).

The items receiving the lowest combined positive ratings of general impact in Undergraduate Student Development are as follows:

- Advancing an appreciation of the arts, music, and literature (49.6\%).
- Analyzing political and economic phenomena (54.0\%).
- Developing a commitment to personal health and fitness (56.6\%).


## General Campus Experiences

The items receiving the highest ratings of combined satisfaction in general experiences are as follows:

- The quality of Recreational Sports facilities (96.8\%).
- Learning to appreciate teamwork and diversity in settings outside the classroom (96.5\%).
- The quality of care offered by the Counseling Center (96.5\%).
- The overall safety of the campus (96.3\%).
- Overall education at TAMU-CC (95.4\%).

The items receiving the lowest ratings of satisfaction in general experiences are as follows:

- The price of food in the University Center (45.5\%).
- The availability of channels for expressing student complaints (68.2\%).
- The contribution of intercollegiate athletic programs to your sense of school spirit (73.0\%).
- Career Services assistance in finding employment after graduation (73.1\%).
- The quality of food in the University Center (74.2\%).


## Likelihood of Attending Again/Recommending TAMU-CC

Both items exceeded the recommended $75 \%$ combined rating for the likelihood of attending TAMU-CC again if starting over (88.6\%) and recommending TAMU-CC to a prospective student (91.7\%).

## Academic Major Experiences

All items related to satisfaction with major exceeded the recommended $75 \%$ combined satisfaction rating. Compared to AY 10-11, 9 of 16 total items reflected an increase in satisfaction.

The items receiving the highest ratings of satisfaction with major are as follows:

- The appropriateness and fairness of grading practices in your major (95.8\%).
- The mutual respect between students and faculty in your major (95.1\%).
- The interest of faculty in major in the welfare of students (94.6\%).

The items receiving the lowest ratings of satisfaction with major are as follows:

- The frequency that required courses are offered in major (80.2\%).
- The variety of advanced course offerings in major (85.9\%).
- The preparation in your major for your first career job (88.1\%).


## Employment Information

The items in this category were asked for informational purposes only. Key findings include:

- $69.5 \%$ of respondents report that they will most likely work full time after graduation, an increase of $2.7 \%$ from AY 10-11.
- $19.3 \%$ of respondents report that they will most likely attend graduate or professional school full time after graduation, an increase of $0.6 \%$. Another $3.7 \%$ will attend graduate or professional school part time.
- $63.6 \%$ of respondents report that their job after graduation is directly or somewhat related to their major at TAMU-CC, an increase of $2.0 \%$.
- $40.8 \%$ of respondents reported their job is located in the Coastal Bend region.
- $27.9 \%$ of respondents report that they will be earning a salary of $\$ 30,000-\$ 49,000$ a year.
- $24.0 \%$ of respondents report that they have already applied to graduate or professional school.


## Commentary

Two open ended question text boxes are included in the survey to allow students to offer commentary. Comments varied from very specific comments (i.e., a specific
professor/course/experience that had an impact on their lives) to very general (an overall satisfaction or dissatisfaction with the education received at TAMU-CC). Items were categorized into similar themes and listed by frequency of mentions. In general, the majority of the comments indicated on both of the items were positive experiences with the professors, the coursework, and the campus.

## Methodology

There were 629 total students that completed the online survey, which equates to $\mathbf{\sim 3 2 \%}$ of the sampled graduating student population for the 2011-2012 academic year.

The Dillman method, which employs multiple contacts with the potential respondents, in this case via email, was used each semester to contact the students who had applied for graduation with their academic advisors. Five contacts were made through the students’ University e-mail addresses. The survey was deployed online using Inquisite 8.5 software, and data were stored on a secure Oracle server. Data were analyzed using SPSS 19.0.

## Reporting

For navigational ease, key findings are grouped into separate categories by theme/construct. Statistical analyses to make comparisons with AY 10-11 data were conducted using Crosstabs chisquare. There were $\mathrm{n}=571$ respondents in AY 10-11, which was a $32 \%$ response rate for that academic year.

College/Division units should strive to obtain an overall positive combined rating of $75 \%$ for each item assessed. To determine the overall positive combined rating for each item assessed, the Office of Planning and Institutional Research recommends combining the percentage scores received for "Very Satisfied"/"Satisfied" or "Major Impact" and "Moderate Impact" Likert-type scale options, as these responses indicate a positive response conveyed by the student assessing the item. The previous year's combined positive ratings are also included with each frequency table, as well as the results of the statistical analyses. Administrators can notate results of the Graduating Student Survey as a secondary source of evidence when assessing their division/department's performance or level of effectiveness.

## Results

## Frequency Tables and Statistical Results

Demographics
Gender

|  | Survey Respondents | Campus Population |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | $29 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Female | $71 \%$ | $62 \%$ |

## Ethnicity

|  | Survey Respondents | Campus Population |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| White | $48 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| African American | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $35 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Native American | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| International | $9 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Other | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ |


| College |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Survey Respondents | Campus Population |
| Business | $19 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Education | $28 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Liberal Arts | $24 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Nursing \& Health Sciences | $14 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Science \& Technology | $15 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Unknown | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

Degree Level

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bachelors | 432 | $69 \%$ |
| Masters | 184 | $29 \%$ |
| Doctoral | 13 | $2 \%$ |

Did either of your parents (or legal guardians) graduate from college?

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | 284 | $45 \%$ |
| No | 345 | $55 \%$ |

## Undergraduate Student Development

The following questions were open for response only to undergraduate students. The questions were constructed to ascertain how respondents perceived their overall student development while at TAMU-CC.

Acquiring a basic knowledge in the liberal arts (humanities, social, and natural sciences).

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major Impact | 140 | $33.2 \%$ |  |
| Moderate Impact | 163 | $38.6 \%$ | $71.8 \%$ |
| Minor Impact | 93 | $22.1 \%$ |  |
| No Impact | 26 | $6.2 \%$ |  |
| Total | 422 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 207 |  |  |

2011 Combined Impact: 71.2\%

Change in Impact: $\quad+\mathbf{0 . 6 \%}$
Statistical Significance: No: p=. 728

Reading and writing clear, correct English.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Impact | 156 | 37.1\% |  |
| Moderate Impact | 174 | 41.3\% | 78.4\% |
| Minor Impact | 61 | 14.5\% |  |
| No Impact | 30 | 7.1\% |  |
| Total | 421 | 100.0\% |  |
| Missing | 208 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Impact: $74.7 \%$ <br> Change in Impact: $+3.7 \%$ <br> Statistical Significance: No: $\mathbf{p}=.309$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Developing effective mathematical/quantitative skills.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major Impact | 98 | $23.5 \%$ |  |
| Moderate Impact | 192 | $46.0 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ |
| Minor Impact | 89 | $21.3 \%$ |  |
| No Impact | 38 | $9.1 \%$ |  |
| Total | 417 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 212 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Impact: | $\mathbf{6 8 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Impact: | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 2 3 4}$ |  |  |

Developing the ability to make inferences by combining different ideas or facts.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major Impact | 221 | $52.5 \%$ |  |
| Moderate Impact | 155 | $36.8 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ |
| Minor Impact | 37 | $8.8 \%$ |  |
| No Impact | 8 | $1.9 \%$ |  |
| Total | 421 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 208 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Impact: | $\mathbf{8 8 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Impact: | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 2 5 6}$ |  |  |

Developing basic computer literacy skills.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major Impact | 126 | $30.1 \%$ |  |
| Moderate Impact | 152 | $36.3 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ |
| Minor Impact | 83 | $19.8 \%$ |  |
| No Impact | 58 | $13.8 \%$ |  |
| Total | 419 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 210 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Impact: | $\mathbf{6 8 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Impact: | $\mathbf{- 1 . 8 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~} \mathbf{p}=. \mathbf{5 3 5}$ |  |  |

Developing the ability to express yourself through speaking.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major Impact | 209 | $50.0 \%$ |  |
| Moderate Impact | 133 | $31.8 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ |
| Minor Impact | 52 | $12.4 \%$ |  |
| No Impact | 24 | $5.7 \%$ |  |
| Total | 418 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 211 |  |  |

2011 Combined Impact: 82.5\%
Change in Impact: -0.7\%
Statistical Significance: Yes: $\mathbf{p}=.006$
Note: Though there is only a slight change in combined impact from 2011 to 2012, the statistical significance is actually reflective of an increase in $10 \%$ of respondents who indicated a "Major Impact" in 2012.

Developing a commitment to personal health and fitness.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major Impact | 126 | $30.1 \%$ |  |
| Moderate Impact | 111 | $26.5 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ |
| Minor Impact | 110 | $26.3 \%$ |  |
| No Impact | 72 | $17.2 \%$ |  |
| Total | 419 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 210 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Impact: | $\mathbf{5 5 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Impact: | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 0 6 4}$ |  |  |

Advancing an appreciation of the arts, music, and literature.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major Impact | 91 | $21.7 \%$ |  |
| Moderate Impact | 117 | $27.9 \%$ | $49.6 \%$ |
| Minor Impact | 132 | $31.5 \%$ |  |
| No Impact | 79 | $18.9 \%$ |  |
| Total | 419 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 210 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Impact: | $\mathbf{5 2 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Impact: | $\mathbf{- 2 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 8 1 0}$ |  |  |

Analyzing political and economic phenomena.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major Impact | 92 | $21.9 \%$ |  |
| Moderate Impact | 135 | $32.1 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ |
| Minor Impact | 127 | $30.2 \%$ |  |
| No Impact | 66 | $15.7 \%$ |  |
| Total | 420 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 209 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Impact: | $\mathbf{4 9 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Impact: | $\mathbf{+ 4 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 1 6 0}$ |  |  |

Understanding the scientific method of problem analysis.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major Impact | 148 | $35.2 \%$ |  |
| Moderate Impact | 143 | $34.0 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ |
| Minor Impact | 88 | $20.9 \%$ |  |
| No Impact | 42 | $10.0 \%$ |  |
| Total | 421 | $100 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 208 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Impact: | $\mathbf{7 2 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Impact: | $\mathbf{- 3 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: |  | Yes: $\mathbf{p = . 0 1 9}$ |  |

Understanding the present as it relates to historical events/processes.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major Impact | 127 | $30.5 \%$ |  |
| Moderate Impact | 162 | $38.8 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ |
| Minor Impact | 92 | $22.1 \%$ |  |
| No Impact | 36 | $8.6 \%$ |  |
| Total | 417 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 212 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Impact: | $\mathbf{6 4 . 8 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Impact: | $\mathbf{+ 4 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | Yes: $\mathbf{p = . 0 4 7}$ |  |  |

Understanding professional and ethical principles.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major Impact | 196 | $46.6 \%$ |  |
| Moderate Impact | 148 | $35.2 \%$ | $81.7 \%$ |
| Minor Impact | 56 | $13.3 \%$ |  |
| No Impact | 21 | $5.0 \%$ |  |
| Total | 421 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 208 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Impact: | $\mathbf{8 4 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Impact: | $\mathbf{- 2 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 1 7 7}$ |  |  |

Understanding diverse cultures and values.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major Impact | 197 | $47.1 \%$ |  |
| Moderate Impact | 137 | $32.8 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ |
| Minor Impact | 61 | $14.6 \%$ |  |
| No Impact | 23 | $5.5 \%$ |  |
| Total | 418 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 211 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Impact: | $\mathbf{7 8 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Impact: | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: |  | $\mathbf{N o : ~} \mathbf{p = . 6 1 3}$ |  |

Having tolerance for different points of view.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major Impact | 210 | $50.0 \%$ |  |
| Moderate Impact | 143 | $34.0 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ |
| Minor Impact | 46 | $11.0 \%$ |  |
| No Impact | 21 | $5.0 \%$ |  |
| Total | 420 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 209 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Impact: | $\mathbf{8 5 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Impact: | $\mathbf{- 1 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p}=. \mathbf{5 1 6}$ |  |  |

Appreciating the need for formal and informal lifelong learning.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major Impact | 236 | $56.1 \%$ |  |
| Moderate Impact | 135 | $32.1 \%$ | $88.1 \%$ |
| Minor Impact | 36 | $8.6 \%$ |  |
| No Impact | 14 | $3.3 \%$ |  |
| Total | 421 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 208 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Impact: | $\mathbf{8 8 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Impact: | $\mathbf{- 0 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 9 3 2}$ |  |  |

## Frequency of Usage

The following questions were open for response to all students. The questions were constructed to ascertain how often students utilized various services on the TAMU-CC campus. These items are not designed to measure satisfaction with these services, but aim to provide some general insight on how often the services are used, as well as afford another variable for further study when comparing frequency of use to satisfaction with services and other demographic characteristics. These questions were piloted on this administration of the Graduating Student Survey, so no comparable data for previous cycles is provided. The item reads: Please indicate about how often you utilize/contact the following offices or campus services in an average academic year.

## SAIL Online Portal

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Often | 445 | $71.2 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 162 | $25.9 \%$ |
| Never | 18 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Total | 625 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 4 |  |

## Admissions \& Records

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Often | 116 | $18.6 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 404 | $64.7 \%$ |
| Never | 104 | $16.7 \%$ |
| Total | 624 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 5 |  |

Veteran's Affairs

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Often | 34 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 37 | $6.0 \%$ |
| Never | 548 | $88.5 \%$ |
| Total | 619 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 10 |  |

Cashier's (Business) Office

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Often | 69 | $11.1 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 423 | $68.1 \%$ |
| Never | 129 | $20.8 \%$ |
| Total | 621 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 8 |  |

University Police

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Often | 12 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 265 | $42.6 \%$ |
| Never | 345 | $55.5 \%$ |
| Total | 622 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 7 |  |

Bell Library

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Often | 344 | $55.0 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 251 | $40.2 \%$ |
| Never | 30 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Total | 625 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 4 |  |

Campus Copies

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Often | 113 | $18.2 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 201 | $32.4 \%$ |
| Never | 307 | $49.4 \%$ |
| Total | 621 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 8 |  |

## University Center

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Often | 287 | $45.9 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 265 | $42.4 \%$ |
| Never | 73 | $11.7 \%$ |
| Total | 625 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 4 |  |

On-campus dining

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Often | 174 | $28.0 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 283 | $45.6 \%$ |
| Never | 164 | $26.4 \%$ |
| Total | 621 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 8 |  |

University Bookstore

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Often | 147 | $23.6 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 385 | $61.7 \%$ |
| Never | 92 | $14.7 \%$ |
| Total | 624 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 5 |  |

Counseling Center

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Often | 46 | $7.4 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 144 | $23.1 \%$ |
| Never | 433 | $69.5 \%$ |
| Total | 623 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 6 |  |

Health Center

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Often | 56 | $9.0 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 227 | $36.4 \%$ |
| Never | 341 | $54.6 \%$ |
| Total | 624 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 5 |  |

Recreational Sports Facilities

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Often | 193 | $30.9 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 188 | $30.1 \%$ |
| Never | 243 | $38.9 \%$ |
| Total | 624 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 5 |  |

## Frequency of Use Statistical Results

Chi-square analysis was used to compare frequency of use of various campus departments by the following demographic characteristics: gender, College, ethnicity, level (undergraduate or graduate), and first generation status. All items were analyzed, but only items that were statistically significant are included below. P-value indicating level of significance is noted as well.

## SAIL Online Portal

- Females report visiting the SAIL portal more often than males $(p=.042)$.
- College of Education and College of Science \& Engineering report visiting SAIL most often ( $75 \%$ ), while the College of Nursing visits the least with $56.3 \%$ reported "Often" ( $\mathrm{p}=.038$ ).


## Admissions and Records

- Students who report that they are Asian/Pacific Islander report the most interaction with Admissions and Records, with $55.6 \%$ reporting that they interact "Often", with only $13.6 \%$ of students who are white reporting the same ( $\mathrm{p}=.002$ ).


## Veteran's Affairs

- Ten percent of male students report they interact with the VA Office "Often", compared to $3 \%$ of females ( $p=.000$ ).


## Cashier's (Business) Office

- Students who report that they are Asian/Pacific Islander indicate the most interaction with the Business Office, while white students report the least ( $\mathrm{p}=.003$ ).
- Undergraduate students report more interaction with the Business Office than graduate students ( $\mathrm{p}=.004$ ).


## University Police

- The College of Nursing reports the least interaction with the University Police, and the College of Business reports the most ( $\mathrm{p}=.050$ ).
- Undergraduate students report more contact with the University Police than graduate students ( $\mathrm{p}=.031$ ).
- Students who are not first generation report more contact with the University Police than first generation students ( $\mathrm{p}=.043$ ).


## Bell Library

- The College of Business reports the most use of the Bell Library, with $71 \%$ reporting "Often" and less than $1 \%$ reporting "Never". The College of Education reports the least contact, with $42 \%$ reporting "Often" ( $p=.001$ ).
- International students report the most usage of the Bell Library, with 75\% reporting "Often", and students who are identified as white use the Library the least with $48 \%$ reporting "Often" ( $\mathrm{p}=.033$ ).


## Campus Copies

- International students report the most usage of Campus Copies, while students who are identified as white report the least ( $\mathrm{p}=.000$ ).
- Students from the College of Business report the most use of Campus Copies ( $\mathrm{p}=.011$ ).
- Undergraduate students report more interaction with Campus Copies than graduate students ( $\mathrm{p}=.000$ ).


## University Center

- Male students report that they visit the University Center more often than females ( $p=.007$ ).
- Students who report that they are Asian/Pacific Islander indicate the highest frequencies of visits to the University Center, while students who are white report the least ( $\mathrm{p}=.020$ ).
- The College of Nursing reports the least interaction with the University Center, and the College of Science reports the most ( $p=.000$ ).
- Undergraduate students visit the University Center the most, while $25 \%$ of graduate students say they "Never" go to the University Center ( $\mathrm{p}=.000$ ).


## On-campus Dining

- Students who are white indicate the least participation in on-campus dining ( $\mathrm{p}=.033$ ).
- The College of Nursing reports the least participation in on-campus dining, and the College of Science reports the most ( $\mathrm{p}=.000$ ).
- Undergraduate students report more on campus dining than graduate students, with $40 \%$ of graduate students indicating that they "Never" dine on campus ( $\mathrm{p}=.031$ ).


## University Bookstore

- Students who are Asian/Pacific Islander indicate the highest frequencies of visits to the University Bookstore, while students who are white report the least ( $\mathrm{p}=.021$ ).
- Students from the College of Liberal Arts reported the highest use of the University Bookstore, while the College of Business reported the least ( $\mathrm{p}=.000$ ).
- Undergraduate students report more use of the Bookstore than graduate students, with $23 \%$ of graduate students indicating that they "Never" use the Bookstore ( $\mathrm{p}=.031$ ).


## Counseling Center

- Undergraduate students use the Counseling Center more often than graduate students ( $\mathrm{p}=.000$ ).


## Health Center

- White and Hispanic students indicate the most use of the Health Center ( $p=.005$ ).
- The College of Nursing reports the most interaction with the Health Center, and the College of Business reports the least ( $p=.002$ ).
- Undergraduate students use the Health Center more often than graduate students ( $\mathrm{p}=.000$ ).
- Students who are not first generation report more contact with the Health Center than first generation students ( $\mathrm{p}=.043$ ).


## Recreational Sports Facilities

- The College of Science reports the most use of Rec Sports facilities, with $42 \%$ reporting "Often" and $25 \%$ reporting "Never". The College of Education reports the least contact, with $29 \%$ reporting "Often" and $51 \%$ reporting "Never" (p=.000).
- Undergraduate students report more use of Rec Sports facilities than graduate students, with $59 \%$ of graduate students indicating that they "Never" use the facilities ( $\mathrm{p}=.000$ ).


## General Experiences

The following questions were open for response to all students. The questions were constructed to ascertain satisfaction with general experiences on the TAMU-CC campus.

The courtesy of personnel at the office of Admissions and Records.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 164 | $29.7 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 354 | $64.1 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 21 | $3.8 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 13 | $2.4 \%$ |  |
| Total | 552 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 58 |  |  |
| Missing | 19 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 4 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 0 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{9 5 3}$ |  |  |

The helpfulness of personnel at the office of Admissions and Records.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 164 | $29.7 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 349 | $63.2 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 27 | $4.9 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 12 | $2.2 \%$ |  |
| Total | 552 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 58 |  |  |
| Missing | 19 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 2 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 0 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{~}=\mathbf{. 5 5 9}$ |  |  |

The knowledge of personnel at the Office of Admissions and Records.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 156 | $28.7 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 343 | $63.1 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 31 | $5.7 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 14 | $2.6 \%$ |  |
| Total | 544 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 62 |  |  |
| Missing | 23 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 9 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 2 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 1 4 1}$ |  |  |

The courtesy of personnel at the Office of Veteran's Affairs.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 62 | $45.6 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 65 | $47.8 \%$ | $93.4 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 6 | $4.4 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 3 | $2.2 \%$ |  |
| Total | 136 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 468 |  |  |
| Missing | 25 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 6 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 2 . 7 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 6 4 1}$ |  |  |

The helpfulness of personnel at the Office of Veteran's Affairs.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 59 | $45.0 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 63 | $48.1 \%$ | $93.1 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 5 | $3.8 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 4 | $3.1 \%$ |  |
| Total | 131 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 475 |  |  |
| Missing | 23 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 4 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 1 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{7 4 4}$ |  |  |

The knowledge of personnel at the Office of Veteran's Affairs.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 59 | $44.0 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 67 | $50.0 \%$ | $94.0 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 4 | $3.0 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 4 | $3.0 \%$ |  |
| Total | 134 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 459 |  |  |
| Missing | 36 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 4 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 0 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 7 0 6}$ |  |  |

The courtesy of personnel at the Cashier's (Business) Office.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 169 | $32.2 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 307 | $58.5 \%$ | $90.7 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 35 | $6.7 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 14 | $2.7 \%$ |  |
| Total | 525 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 72 |  |  |
| Missing | 32 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 9 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~} \mathbf{~}=\mathbf{8 3 9}$ |  |  |

The helpfulness of personnel at the Cashier's (Business) Office.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 170 | $32.0 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 318 | $59.8 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 30 | $5.6 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 14 | $2.6 \%$ |  |
| Total | 532 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 68 |  |  |
| Missing | 29 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 9 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 2 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 5 7 3}$ |  |  |

The hours of operation of the Cashier's (Business) office.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 174 | $32.7 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 325 | $61.1 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 21 | $3.9 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 12 | $2.3 \%$ |  |
| Total | 532 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 71 |  |  |
| Missing | 26 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 0 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 3 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | Yes: $\mathbf{p = . 0 4 7}$ |  |  |

The courtesy of personnel in the Financial Assistance office.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 182 | $38.2 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 243 | $50.9 \%$ | $89.1 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 30 | $6.3 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 22 | $4.6 \%$ |  |
| Total | 477 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 129 |  |  |
| Missing | 23 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 5 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 3 . 7 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 0 8 6}$ |  |  |

The helpfulness of personnel in the Financial Assistance office.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 178 | $37.9 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 237 | $50.4 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 31 | $6.6 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 24 | $5.1 \%$ |  |
| Total | 470 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 132 |  |  |
| Missing | 27 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 3 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 4 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{P = . 0 5 2}$ |  |  |

The timeliness of financial assistance award announcements.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 179 | $36.5 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 236 | $48.1 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 51 | $10.4 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 25 | $5.1 \%$ |  |
| Total | 491 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 115 |  |  |
| Missing | 23 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 4 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | No change |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: p=.437 |  |  |

The Web registration process.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 299 | $50.4 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 255 | $43.0 \%$ | $93.4 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 26 | $4.4 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 13 | $2.2 \%$ |  |
| Total | 593 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 12 |  |  |
| Missing | 24 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 4 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 0 . 8 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~} \mathbf{p}=\mathbf{. 2 7 2}$ |  |  |

The walk-up registration process.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 104 | $32.0 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 185 | $56.9 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 27 | $8.3 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 9 | $2.8 \%$ |  |
| Total | 325 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 285 |  |  |
| Missing | 19 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 7 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 7 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 7 3 1}$ |  |  |

The drop/add policy.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 187 | $36.6 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 273 | $53.4 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 34 | $6.7 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 17 | $3.3 \%$ |  |
| Total | 511 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 89 |  |  |
| Missing | 29 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 9 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 0 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o}: \mathbf{p = . 7 2 7}$ |  |  |

The fee payment process.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 158 | $27.5 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 297 | $51.7 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 80 | $13.9 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 39 | $6.8 \%$ |  |
| Total | 574 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 30 |  |  |
| Missing | 25 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 2 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 3 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~}=. \mathbf{5 4 0}$ |  |  |

## The billing policy.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 162 | $27.6 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 322 | $54.9 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 72 | $12.3 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 31 | $5.3 \%$ |  |
| Total | 587 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 17 |  |  |
| Missing | 25 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 5 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 2 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~ p = . 3 9 6}$ |  |  |

The quality of equipment in computer labs.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 194 | $35.9 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 275 | $50.8 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 50 | $9.2 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 22 | $4.1 \%$ |  |
| Total | 541 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 64 |  |  |
| Missing | 24 | $\mathbf{9 0 . 1 \%}$ |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 3 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | Yes: p=.002 |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: |  |  |  |
| Note: Though combined satisfaction shows an overall decrease on this item, it is important to note <br> that there was an increase from 2011 of 7.9\% in respondents who were "very satisfied" in addition |  |  |  |
| to the small increase (+2.5\%)in students who were "very dissatisfied", so the statistical significance |  |  |  |
| may be more reflective of a redistribution of the four Likert options than a pure decrease in |  |  |  |
| satisfaction. |  |  |  |

The accessibility of computer labs.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 193 | $35.7 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 276 | $51.1 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 53 | $9.8 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 18 | $3.3 \%$ |  |
| Total | 540 | $100.0 \%$ |  |

Not applicable 65

| Missing 24 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 5 . 0 \%}$ |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 9 \%}$ |
| Statistical Significance: | Yes: $\mathbf{p}=.030$ |

The overall condition of University grounds and landscaping.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 311 | $52.1 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 249 | $41.7 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 30 | $5.0 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 7 | $1.2 \%$ |  |
| Total | 597 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 14 |  |  |
| Missing | 18 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 4 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 0 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o}: \mathbf{p = . 1 4 0}$ |  |  |
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The overall condition of classrooms on campus.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 170 | $29.2 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 371 | $63.7 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 36 | $6.2 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 5 | $0.9 \%$ |  |
| Total | 582 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 22 |  |  |
| Missing | 25 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 9 . 8 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 3 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | Yes: $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{. 0 0 1}$ |  |  |

The quality of equipment in science labs.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 113 | $29.5 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 221 | $57.7 \%$ | $87.2 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 38 | $9.9 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 11 | $2.9 \%$ |  |
| Total | 383 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 219 |  |  |
| Missing | 27 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 6 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~}=. \mathbf{5 8 3}$ |  |  |

The courtesy of University Police.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 122 | $27.9 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 247 | $56.5 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 46 | $10.5 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 22 | $5.0 \%$ |  |
| Total | 437 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 163 |  |  |
| Missing | 29 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 5 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 0 . 8 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~}=\mathbf{. 6 1 8}$ |  |  |

The helpfulness of University Police.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 120 | $29.1 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 231 | $56.1 \%$ | $85.2 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 38 | $9.2 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 23 | $5.6 \%$ |  |
| Total | 412 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 192 |  |  |
| Missing | 25 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 6 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p}=. \mathbf{2 9 8}$ |  |  |

The responsiveness of University Police.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 102 | $29.0 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 195 | $55.4 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 35 | $9.9 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 20 | $5.7 \%$ |  |
| Total | 352 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 253 |  |  |
| Missing | 24 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 7 . 8 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 3 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~}=. \mathbf{3 3 8}$ |  |  |

The overall safety of the campus.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 201 | $35.4 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 345 | $60.8 \%$ | $96.3 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 18 | $3.2 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 3 | $0.5 \%$ |  |
| Total | 567 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 34 |  |  |
| Missing | 28 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 5 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~} \mathbf{p = . 8 0 8}$ |  |  |

The caring and helpfulness of campus staff.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 200 | $34.7 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 349 | $60.6 \%$ | $95.3 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 20 | $3.5 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 7 | $1.2 \%$ |  |
| Total | 576 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 23 |  |  |
| Missing | 30 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 4 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 0 . 8 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~} \mathbf{p = . 2 9 6}$ |  |  |

The ability to find materials in the Library to complete class assignments.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 196 | $35.1 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 317 | $56.7 \%$ | $91.8 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 29 | $5.2 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 17 | $3.0 \%$ |  |
| Total | 559 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 43 |  |  |
| Missing | 27 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 8 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 3 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | Yes: $\mathbf{p}=. \mathbf{0 1 4}$ |  |  |

The contribution of intercollegiate athletic programs to your sense of school spirit.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 80 | $21.9 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 187 | $51.1 \%$ | $73.0 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 59 | $16.1 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 40 | $10.9 \%$ |  |
| Total | 366 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 238 |  |  |
| Missing | 25 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{6 8 . 7 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 4 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o}: \mathbf{p = . 4 7 3}$ |  |  |

The quality of service in Campus Copies (University Center).

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 107 | $30.3 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 221 | $62.6 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 19 | $5.4 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 6 | $1.7 \%$ |  |
| Total | 353 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 243 |  |  |
| Missing | 33 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 6 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 6 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | Yes: $\mathbf{p = . 0 2 2}$ |  |  |

The variety of services available in Campus Copies (University Center).

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 114 | $31.1 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 227 | $62.0 \%$ | $93.2 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 17 | $4.6 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 8 | $2.2 \%$ |  |
| Total | 366 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 231 |  |  |
| Missing | 32 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 9 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 3 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{~}=\mathbf{. 2 7 7}$ |  |  |

The quality of food in the University Center.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 85 | $17.0 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 286 | $57.2 \%$ | $74.2 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 93 | $18.6 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 36 | $7.2 \%$ |  |
| Total | 500 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 104 |  |  |
| Missing | 25 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{7 6 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 2 . 7 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o}: \mathbf{p = . 5 3 0}$ |  |  |

The price of food in the University Center.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 37 | $7.4 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 192 | $38.2 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 164 | $32.6 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 110 | $21.9 \%$ |  |
| Total | 503 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 96 |  |  |
| Missing | 30 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{5 1 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 6 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o}: \mathbf{p = . 3 1 5}$ |  |  |

The courtesy of personnel in the University Bookstore.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 151 | $27.4 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 366 | $66.4 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 25 | $4.5 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 9 | $1.6 \%$ |  |
| Total | 551 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 50 |  |  |
| Missing | 28 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 3 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 0 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~} \mathbf{p}=\mathbf{. 9 1 9}$ |  |  |

The helpfulness of personnel in the University Bookstore.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 163 | $29.6 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 357 | $64.9 \%$ | $94.5 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 18 | $3.3 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 12 | $2.2 \%$ |  |
| Total | 550 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 52 |  |  |
| Missing | 27 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 2 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | Yes: $\mathbf{p = . 0 2 6}$ |  |  |

The overall comfort of the University Center as a place for students to spend their leisure time.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 146 | $28.1 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 316 | $60.9 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 46 | $8.9 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 11 | $2.1 \%$ |  |
| Total | 519 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 74 |  |  |
| Missing | 36 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 9 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 0 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: p=.372 |  |  |

The quality of care offered by the Counseling Center.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 99 | $42.9 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 124 | $53.7 \%$ | $96.5 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 5 | $2.2 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 3 | $1.3 \%$ |  |
| Total | 231 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 360 |  |  |
| Missing | 38 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 4 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 2 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 4 9 7}$ |  |  |

The quality of care offered by the Health Center.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 106 | $34.6 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 168 | $54.9 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 21 | $6.9 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 11 | $3.6 \%$ |  |
| Total | 306 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 275 |  |  |
| Missing | 48 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 4 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 4 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 1 2 0}$ |  |  |

Career Services assistance in finding part-time employment on or off campus.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 77 | $27.0 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 147 | $51.6 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 45 | $15.8 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 16 | $5.6 \%$ |  |
| Total | 285 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 303 |  |  |
| Missing | 41 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{7 5 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 3 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 6 5 4}$ |  |  |

Career Services assistance in finding employment after graduation.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 60 | $26.4 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 106 | $46.7 \%$ | $73.1 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 47 | $20.7 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 14 | $6.2 \%$ |  |
| Total | 227 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 356 |  |  |
| Missing | 46 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{7 2 . 8 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 0 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | Yes: $\mathbf{p = . 0 4 2}$ |  |  |

The variety of Recreational Sports activities, including intramurals, fitness and wellness programs, sports clubs, aquatics, and open recreation.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 138 | $35.9 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 226 | $58.9 \%$ | $94.8 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 11 | $2.9 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 9 | $2.3 \%$ |  |
| Total | 384 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 202 |  |  |
| Missing | 43 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 4 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 0 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 4 1 3}$ |  |  |

The quality of Recreational Sports facilities.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 201 | $50.3 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 186 | $46.5 \%$ | $96.8 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 7 | $1.8 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 6 | $1.5 \%$ |  |
| Total | 400 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 186 |  |  |
| Missing | 43 | $\mathbf{9 6 . 9 \%}$ |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{0 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | Yes: p=.035 |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: |  |  |  |
| Note: Though combined satisfaction shows a very small change on this item, it is important to note <br> that there was an increase from 2011 of 4\% in respondents who were "very satisfied" in addition to |  |  |  |
| the small increase (+1.5\%) in students who were "very dissatisfied", so the statistical significance |  |  |  |
| may be reflective of a redistribution of the four Likert options. |  |  |  |

The availability of channels for expressing student complaints.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 63 | $18.7 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 167 | $49.6 \%$ | $68.2 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 67 | $19.9 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 40 | $11.9 \%$ |  |
| Total | 337 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 252 |  |  |
| Missing | 40 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{6 6 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 2 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{5 0 5}$ |  |  |

The fairness of student disciplinary procedures.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 92 | $27.8 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 210 | $63.4 \%$ | $91.2 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 16 | $4.8 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 13 | $3.9 \%$ |  |
| Total | 331 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 253 |  |  |
| Missing | 45 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 0 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o}: \mathbf{p}=. \mathbf{4 1 7}$ |  |  |

The protection of the right to freedom of expression on campus.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 145 | $32.2 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 281 | $62.3 \%$ | $94.5 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 18 | $4.0 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 7 | $1.6 \%$ |  |
| Total | 451 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 140 |  |  |
| Missing | 38 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 5 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 0 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~} \mathbf{p}=\mathbf{. 6 9 6}$ |  |  |

Learning to appreciate teamwork and diversity in settings outside the classroom.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 165 | $34.3 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 299 | $62.2 \%$ | $96.5 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 12 | $2.5 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 5 | $1.0 \%$ |  |
| Total | 481 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 106 |  |  |
| Missing | 42 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 5 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~} \mathbf{p = . 6 1 6}$ |  |  |

Your sense of pride about the campus.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 178 | $33.0 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 305 | $56.5 \%$ | $89.4 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 40 | $7.4 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 17 | $3.1 \%$ |  |
| Total | 540 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 47 |  |  |
| Missing | 42 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 6 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 2 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 0 5 9}$ |  |  |

The overall quality of Academic Advising you have received at this campus.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 199 | $35.4 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 278 | $49.5 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 54 | $9.6 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 31 | $5.5 \%$ |  |
| Total | 562 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 21 |  |  |
| Missing | 46 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 6 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 1 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: p=.793 |  |  |

The effectiveness of services provided by the Tutoring and Learning Center.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 106 | $31.7 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 193 | $57.8 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 26 | $7.8 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 9 | $2.7 \%$ |  |
| Total | 334 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 252 |  |  |
| Missing | 43 |  |  |

New item in 2012. No comparison data.

The quality of Core Curriculum as a component of your education.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 136 | $26.4 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 313 | $60.7 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 43 | $8.3 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 24 | $4.7 \%$ |  |
| Total | 516 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 68 |  |  |
| Missing | 45 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 8 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 1 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p}=. \mathbf{1 8 8}$ |  |  |

Your financial investment (tuition and fees) in your education here.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 124 | $22.1 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 301 | $53.6 \%$ | $75.6 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 88 | $15.7 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 49 | $8.7 \%$ |  |
| Total | 562 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 13 |  |  |
| Missing | 54 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{7 8 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 2 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o}: \mathbf{p = . 2 6 6}$ |  |  |

Your overall education at TAMU-CC.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 226 | $46.9 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 234 | $48.5 \%$ | $95.4 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 17 | $3.5 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 5 | $1.0 \%$ |  |
| Total | 482 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 3 |  |  |
| Missing | 144 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 5 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 0 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{~}=\mathbf{3 7 0}$ |  |  |

## Extracurricular Involvement

The following questions were open for response to all students. The questions were constructed to ascertain student involvement in extracurricular activities.

Were you actively involved in any student organizations during your career at TAMU-CC?

| Frequency | Valid Percent |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes 277 | 46.1\% |  |  |
| No 324 | 53.9\% |  |  |
| Total 601 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| Missing 28 | 28 |  |  |
| 2011 Involvement: | 49.8\% |  |  |
| Change in Involvement: | +\% |  |  |
| If no, why not? |  |  |  |
|  | Frequency | 2011-2012 Rank | 2010-2011 Rank |
| I didn't have time | 237 | 1 | 1 |
| Nothing interested me | 64 | 2 | 2 |
| I didn't know about these organizations | 52 | 3 | 3 |
| What I wanted wasn't offered or available | 13 | 4 | 4 |
| I didn't like what I experienced | 7 | 5 | 5 |
| Other | 54 |  |  |

If no, why not? ("Other" responses - categorized)

|  | Frequency |
| :--- | :--- |
| Distance learner | 20 |
| Non-traditional student | 10 |
| Work and/or family commitments | 6 |
| Specific complaint regarding clubs/organizations | 5 |

While at TAMU-CC, did you participate in the First Year Learning Community Program?

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | 123 | $20.8 \%$ |
| No | 290 | $49.0 \%$ |
| Not Sure | 35 | $5.9 \%$ |
| Transferred in after first year | 144 | $24.3 \%$ |
| Total | 592 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 37 |  |

New item in 2012. No comparison data.

## Likelihood of Attending/Recommending TAMU-CC

The following questions were open for response to all students. The questions asked respondents to identify their likelihood of choosing TAMU-CC if beginning over again, and the likelihood of recommending TAMU-CC to a prospective student. The Office of Planning and Institutional Research recommends a combined probability percentage of $75 \%$ or greater for each item.

If you were to start all over again, would you attend TAMU-CC?

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Definitely attend TAMU-CC | 287 | $47.4 \%$ |  |
| Probably attend TAMU-CC | 250 | $41.3 \%$ | $88.6 \%$ |
| Probably not attend TAMU-CC | 50 | $8.3 \%$ |  |
| Definitely not attend TAMU-CC | 17 | $2.8 \%$ |  |
| Not attend college at all | 2 | $0.3 \%$ |  |
| Total | 606 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 23 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Probability: | $\mathbf{8 8 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Probability: | $\mathbf{+ 0 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~} \mathbf{p}=.545$ |  |  |

Would you recommend TAMU-CC to a prospective student?

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Definitely recommend | 350 | $57.8 \%$ |  |
| Probably recommend | 206 | $34.0 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |
| Probably not recommend | 41 | $6.8 \%$ |  |
| Definitely not recommend | 9 | $1.5 \%$ |  |
| Total | 606 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 23 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Probability: | $\mathbf{9 2 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Probability: | $\mathbf{- 0 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~} \mathbf{p}=.714$ |  |  |

## Academic Registration Experiences

The following questions were open for response to all students. The questions were constructed to ascertain how often respondents encountered courses that were closed when they were registering.

How frequently did you encounter courses NOT in your major that were closed when you went to register?

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Always | 14 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Often | 62 | $10.4 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 190 | $31.7 \%$ |
| Never | 333 | $55.6 \%$ |
| Total | 599 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 30 |  |
| 2011 "Never" Responses: | 48.4\% <br> Change in course availability: <br> +7.2\% (Reflects an increase in course availability) <br> Statistical Significance: |  |
|  | No: $\mathbf{p = . 0 8 5}$ |  |

How frequently did you encounter courses IN your major that were closed when you went to register?

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Always | 30 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Often | 100 | $16.5 \%$ |
| Sometimes | 212 | $35.0 \%$ |
| Never | 263 | $\mathbf{4 3 . 5 \%}$ |
| Total | 605 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 24 |  |
| 2011 "Never" Responses: | $\mathbf{4 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Change in course availability: | +2.5\% (Reflects an increase in course availability) |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p}=. \mathbf{5 3 5}$ |  |

## Academic Major Experiences

The following questions were open for response to all students, regardless of level. The questions were constructed to ascertain student satisfaction with experiences within their major. The Office of Planning and Institutional Research recommends a combined satisfaction rating of 75\% or greater for each item. Combined satisfaction is found by combining the percentage scores received for "Very Satisfied" and "Satisfied" responses, as these options indicate a positive rating by the respondents. "Not applicable" responses are not included in the valid percentages listed on the tables to allow for a more accurate reflection of satisfaction ratings received.

The interest of faculty in your major in the welfare of students.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 304 | $51.5 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 254 | $43.1 \%$ | $94.6 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 21 | $3.6 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 11 | $1.9 \%$ |  |
| Total | 590 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 5 |  |  |
| Missing | 34 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 3 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{Y e s : ~} \mathbf{p = . 0 1 9}$ |  |  |

The quality of instruction in your major.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 313 | $52.6 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 235 | $39.5 \%$ | $92.1 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 37 | $6.2 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 10 | $1.7 \%$ |  |
| Total | 595 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 1 |  |  |
| Missing | 33 | $\mathbf{9 2 . 4 \%}$ |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 0 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | Yes: p=.006 |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: |  |  |  |
| Note: Though combined satisfaction shows an overall decrease on this item, it is important to note <br> that there was an increase from 2011 of $8.9 \%$ in respondents who were "very satisfied" in addition <br> to the small increase (+1.0\%) in students who were "very dissatisfied", so the statistical significance <br> may be more reflective of a redistribution of the four Likert options than an actual decrease in |  |  |  |
| satisfaction with this item. |  |  |  |

The academic challenge of course work in your major.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 300 | $50.8 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 250 | $42.4 \%$ | $93.2 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 34 | $5.8 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 6 | $1.0 \%$ |  |
| Total | 590 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 1 |  |  |
| Missing | 38 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 2 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 0 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~} \mathbf{p = . 2 0 0}$ |  |  |

The mutual respect between students and faculty in your major.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 322 | $54.4 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 241 | $40.7 \%$ | $95.1 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 20 | $3.4 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 9 | $1.5 \%$ |  |
| Total | 592 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 1 |  |  |
| Missing | 36 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 2 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 3 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{0 8 4}$ |  |  |

The preparation of faculty in your major for their courses.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 309 | $52.4 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 243 | $41.2 \%$ | $93.6 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 31 | $5.3 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 7 | $1.2 \%$ |  |
| Total | 590 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 2 |  |  |
| Missing | 37 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 3 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 0 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 1 5 5}$ |  |  |

The frequency that required courses are offered in your major.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 206 | $34.6 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 271 | $45.5 \%$ | $80.2 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 86 | $14.5 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 32 | $5.4 \%$ |  |
| Total | 595 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 1 |  |  |
| Missing | 33 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 1 . 8 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 1 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: p=.222 |  |  |

The opportunities to interact with faculty in your major outside of class.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 273 | $47.8 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 257 | $45.0 \%$ | $92.8 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 33 | $5.8 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 8 | $1.4 \%$ |  |
| Total | 571 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 23 |  |  |
| Missing | 35 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 9 . 7 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 3 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 0 6 6}$ |  |  |

The appropriateness and fairness of the grading practices in your major.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 298 | $50.4 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 268 | $45.3 \%$ | $95.8 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 15 | $2.5 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 10 | $1.7 \%$ |  |
| Total | 591 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 3 |  |  |
| Missing | 35 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 4 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | Yes: $\mathbf{p = . 0 2 9}$ |  |  |

The feedback from faculty in your major on your academic progress.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 288 | $48.9 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 246 | $41.8 \%$ | $90.7 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 45 | $7.6 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 10 | $1.7 \%$ |  |
| Total | 589 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 6 |  |  |
| Missing | 34 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 1 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 0 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | Yes: $\mathbf{p}=. \mathbf{0 3 2}$ |  |  |

The variety of advanced course offerings in your major.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 249 | $43.2 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 246 | $42.7 \%$ | $85.9 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 59 | $10.2 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 22 | $3.8 \%$ |  |
| Total | 576 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 17 |  |  |
| Missing | 36 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 1 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 4 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | Yes: $\mathbf{p = . 0 0 5}$ |  |  |

The helpfulness of your faculty advisor.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 283 | $50.0 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 220 | $38.9 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 44 | $7.8 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 19 | $3.4 \%$ |  |
| Total | 566 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 27 |  |  |
| Missing | 36 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 9 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 0 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p}=. \mathbf{7 7 9}$ |  |  |

The availability of your faculty advisor.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 265 | $46.8 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 242 | $42.8 \%$ | $89.6 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 42 | $7.4 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 17 | $3.0 \%$ |  |
| Total | 566 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 27 |  |  |
| Missing | 36 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{9 0 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{- 0 . 7 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~} \mathbf{p}=. \mathbf{9 3 8}$ |  |  |

The preparation in your major for your first career job.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 203 | $39.6 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 248 | $48.4 \%$ | $88.1 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 42 | $8.2 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 19 | $3.7 \%$ |  |
| Total | 512 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 76 |  |  |
| Missing | 41 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 4 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 4 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p = . 1 9 6}$ |  |  |

The preparation in your major for continuing education.

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Satisfaction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfied | 242 | $44.7 \%$ |  |
| Satisfied | 250 | $46.2 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 36 | $6.7 \%$ |  |
| Very Dissatisfied | 13 | $2.4 \%$ |  |
| Total | 541 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Not applicable | 47 |  |  |
| Missing | 41 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{8 9 . 7 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Satisfaction: | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: p=.609 |  |  |

Would you recommend to someone with similar interests to study in the same major at TAMU-CC?

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Probability |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Definitely yes | 330 | $55.4 \%$ |  |
| Probably yes | 198 | $33.2 \%$ | $88.6 \%$ |
| Probably no | 50 | $8.4 \%$ |  |
| Definitely no | 18 | $3.0 \%$ |  |
| Total | 596 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 33 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Probability: | $\mathbf{8 8 . 7 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Probability: | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | $\mathbf{N o : ~} \mathbf{p = . 6 4 9}$ |  |  |

If you were starting all over, would you major in the same program again?

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Combined Probability |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Definitely yes | 352 | $59.2 \%$ |  |
| Probably yes | 155 | $26.1 \%$ | $85.2 \%$ |
| Probably no | 67 | $11.3 \%$ |  |
| Definitely no | 21 | $3.5 \%$ |  |
| Total | 595 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 34 |  |  |
| 2011 Combined Probability: | $\mathbf{8 6 . 7 \%}$ |  |  |
| Change in Probability: | $\mathbf{- 1 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |
| Statistical Significance: | No: $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{5 0 3}$ |  |  |

## Employment Information

The following questions were open for response to all students. The questions were constructed to ascertain post-graduation plans of the respondents.

What is MOST LIKELY to be your PRINCIPAL activity upon graduation?

|  | Frequency | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Employment, full-time paid | 417 | $69.5 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ |
| Employment, part-time paid | 11 | $1.8 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ |
| Graduate or professional school, full-time | 116 | $19.3 \%$ | $90.7 \%$ |
| Graduate or professional school, part-time | 22 | $3.7 \%$ | $94.3 \%$ |
| Additional undergraduate course work | 8 | $1.3 \%$ | $95.7 \%$ |
| Military service | 2 | $0.3 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ |
| Volunteer activity (e.g. Peace Corps) | 3 | $0.5 \%$ | $96.5 \%$ |
| Starting or raising a family | 5 | $0.8 \%$ | $97.3 \%$ |
| Other, please specify | 16 | $2.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total | 600 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 29 |  |  |
| 2011 "Employment, full-time, paid": | $66.8 \%$ |  |  |
| 2011 "Graduate or professional school, full time": | $18.7 \%$ |  |  |

To what extent is your job related to your major or area of study at TAMU-CC?

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Directly related | 239 | $45.4 \%$ | $45.4 \%$ |
| Somewhat related | 96 | $18.2 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ |
| Not at all related | 34 | $6.5 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ |
| Not applicable | 158 | $30.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total | 527 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 102 |  |  |

2011 Responses:
Directly Related (42.8\%)
Somewhat related (18.9\%)
Not at all related (5.7\%)
Not applicable (32.7\%)

Where is your job located?

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In the Coastal Bend region | 212 | $40.8 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ |
| In Texas, outside the Coastal Bend | 79 | $15.2 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ |
| Outside of Texas | 25 | $4.8 \%$ | $60.8 \%$ |
| Not applicable | 204 | $39.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total | 520 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 109 |  |  |

2011 Responses:
In the Coastal Bend region (43.8\%)
In Texas, outside the Coastal Bend (12.4\%)
Outside of Texas (5.3\%)
Not applicable (38.4\%)
If you have accepted a position of employment following graduation, or are currently employed, what is/will be your salary range per year?

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Under $\$ 20,000$ | 35 | $6.5 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 29,999$ | 30 | $5.6 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 30,000$ to $\$ 39,999$ | 69 | $12.8 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 49,999$ | 81 | $15.1 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 59,999$ | 37 | $6.9 \%$ | $46.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 69,999$ | 20 | $3.7 \%$ | $50.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 70,000$ or above | 33 | $6.1 \%$ | $56.8 \%$ |
| Not applicable | 232 | $43.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total | 537 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Missing | 92 |  |  |

(By Degree Level) If you have accepted a position of employment following graduation, or are currently employed, what is/will be your salary range per year?

|  |  | Bachelors | Masters | Doctoral |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Under $\$ 20,000$ | Frequency | 32 | 3 | 0 |
|  | Valid Percent | $8.8 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 29,999$ | Frequency | 16 | 14 | 0 |
|  | Valid Percent | $4.4 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 30,000$ to $\$ 39,999$ | Frequency | 49 | 20 | 0 |
|  | Valid Percent | $13.4 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 49,999$ | Frequency | 42 | 34 | 5 |
|  | Valid Percent | $11.5 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 59,999$ | Frequency | 24 | 12 | 1 |
|  | Valid Percent | $6.6 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 69,999$ | Frequency | 9 | 8 | 3 |
|  | Valid Percent | $2.5 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 70,000$ or above | Frequency | 4 | 27 | 2 |
|  | Valid Percent | $1.1 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| Not applicable | Frequency | 189 | 41 | 2 |
|  | Valid Percent | $51.8 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |

Have you applied to graduate or professional school?

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes, I have applied | 137 | $24.0 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ |
| Not yet, I plan to apply 235 | $41.2 \%$ | $65.1 \%$ |  |
| No, I am not planning <br> to apply | 199 | $34.9 \%$ |  |
| Total | 571 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Missing | 58 |  |  |

## 2011 Responses:

Yes, I have applied (26.0\%)
Not yet, I plan to apply (43.8\%)
No, I do not plan to apply (30.2\%)

## Comments

In response to student inquiries regarding the opportunity to provide commentary on the Graduating Student Survey, two open ended questions with text boxes were included in this iteration of the survey.

242 individuals (39\% of the respondents) participated in the first open ended question. The first comment item is as follows:
Please use the space in the text box below to provide any comments you would like to add about your experiences in your MAJOR at TAMU-CC.

190 individuals ( $30 \%$ of the respondents) participated in the second open ended question. The second comment item is as follows:
Please use the space in the text box below to provide any comments you would like to add about your overall educational experience at TAMU-CC.

Comments varied from very specific comments (i.e., a specific professor/course/experience that had an impact on their lives) to very general (an overall satisfaction or dissatisfaction with the quality of education received at TAMU-CC). Items were categorized into similar themes and listed by frequency of mentions in the tables that follow. In general, the majority of the comments indicated on both of the items were positive experiences with the faculty, the coursework, and the campus.

Many comments included more than one theme, so total number of mentions is larger than the total number of individuals who participated.

Please use the space in the text box below to provide any comments you would like to add about your experiences in your MAJOR at TAMU-CC.

| \# Mentions | Comment |
| :--- | :--- |
| 94 | General or specific positive experience with faculty |
| 64 | Enjoyed coursework/major |
| 43 | General or specific negative experience |
| 41 | Suggestion for improvement |
| 39 | General or specific positive experience |
| 30 | Need for more elective options/specific courses |
| 30 | General or specific negative issue with faculty |
| 12 | Need for resources (space/equipment/more faculty/scholarships) |
| 7 | Need for higher standards/more challenging curriculum |


| Please use the space in the text box below to provide any comments you would like to add <br> about your overall educational experience at TAMU-CC. <br> \# Mentions | Comment |
| :--- | :--- |
| 123 | General or specific positive experience at TAMU-CC |
| 36 | Miscellaneous suggestions for improvements of various aspects of campus |
| 34 | General or specific negative experience at TAMU-CC |
| 23 | Complaints regarding student support services/administration |
| 18 | Complaints regarding costs/fees |
| 10 | General or specific negative issue with faculty |
| 7 | Complaints regarding parking availability/fees |

# Graduating Student Survey Online Questionnaire 












