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## A Summary of Student Engagement Results

Student engagement represents two critical features of collegiate quality. The first is the amount of time and effort students put into their studies and other educationally purposeful activities. The second is how institutional resources, courses, and other learning opportunities facilitate student participation in activities that matter to student learning. NSSE surveys undergraduate students in their first and final years to assess their levels of engagement and related information about their experience at your institution.

## Comparison Group

The comparison group
featured in this report is
Similar Institutions
See your Selected Comparison Groups report for details.

This Snapshot is a concise collection of key findings from your institution's NSSE 2017 administration. We hope this information stimulates discussions about the undergraduate experience. Additional details about these and other results appear in the reports referenced throughout.

| Engagement Indicators <br> Sets of items are grouped into ten |  |  | Your students compared with Similar Institutions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Engagement Indicators, organized | Theme | Engagement Indicator | First-year | Senior |
| under four broad themes. At right are summary results for your |  | Higher-Order Learning | -- | -- |
| institution. For details, see your | Academic | Reflective \& Integrative Learning | -- | -- |
| Engagement Indicators report. | Challenge | Learning Strategies | -- | $\nabla$ |
| Key: |  | Quantitative Reasoning | -- | -- |
| Your students' average was significantly <br> A higher ( $p<.05$ ) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude. | Learning | Collaborative Learning | - | -- |
| Your students' average was significantly $\Delta$ higher ( $p<.05$ ) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude. | with Peers | Discussions with Diverse Others | $\Delta$ | -- |
| -- No significant difference. | Experiences | Student-Faculty Interaction | -- | -- |
| Your students' average was significantly <br> $\nabla$ lower ( $p<.05$ ) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude. | with Faculty | Effective Teaching Practices | -- | $\nabla$ |
| Your students' average was significantly | Campus | Quality of Interactions | $\Delta$ | -- |
|  | Environment | Supportive Environment | -- | -- |

## High-Impact Practices

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "highimpact." For more details and statistical comparisons, see your High-Impact Practices report.

## First-year

Learning Community, Service-
Learning, and Research w/Faculty

## Senior

Learning Community, ServiceLearning, Research w/Faculty, Internship, Study Abroad, and Culminating Senior Experience


## Academic Challenge: Additional Results

The Academic Challenge theme contains four Engagement Indicators as well as several important individual items. The results presented here provide an overview of these individual items. For more information about the Academic Challenge theme, see your Engagement Indicators report. To further explore individual item results, see your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons, the Major Field Report, the Online Institutional Report, or the Report Builder-Institution Version.

## Time Spent Preparing for Class

This figure reports the average weekly class preparation time for your students compared to students in your comparison group.


## Reading and Writing

These figures summarize the number of hours your students spent reading for their courses and the average number of pages of assigned writing compared to students in your comparison group. Each is an estimate calculated from two or more separate survey questions.


## Challenging Students to Do Their Best Work

To what extent did students' courses challenge them to do their best work? Response options ranged from $1=$ "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much."


## Academic Emphasis

How much did students say their institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work? Response options included "Very much," "Quite a bit," "Some," and "Very little."
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## Item Comparisons

By examining individual NSSE questions, you can better understand what contributes to your institution's performance on the Engagement Indicators. This section displays the five questions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ on which your students scored the highest and the five questions on which they scored the lowest, relative to students in your comparison group. Parenthetical notes indicate whether an item belongs to a specific Engagement Indicator or is a High-Impact Practice. While these questions represent the largest differences (in percentage points), they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals. For additional results, see your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons report.

## First-year

## Highest Performing Relative to Similar Institutions

Participated in a learning community or some other formal program where... (HIP)
Worked with other students on course projects or assignments ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (CL)
Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material w/other students ${ }^{b}$ (CL)
Discussions with... People with religious beliefs other than your own ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (DD)
Quality of interactions with faculty ${ }^{\text {d }}$ (QI)

## Lowest Performing Relative to Similar Institutions

Learned something that changed the way you understand an issue or concept ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (RI)
Tried to better understand someone else's views by imagining...his or her perspective ${ }^{b}$ (RI)
Analyzing an idea, experience, or line of reasoning in depth by examining its parts ${ }^{c}(\mathrm{HO})$
Instructors clearly explained course goals and requirements ${ }^{c}$ (ET)
Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical problems or new situations ${ }^{c}(\mathrm{HO})$


Percentage Point Difference with Similar Institutions

## Senior

## Highest Performing Relative to Similar Institutions

Spent more than 15 hours per week preparing for class
Explained course material to one or more students ${ }^{b}$ (CL)
Asked another student to help you understand course material ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (CL)
Assigned more than 50 pages of writing ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$
Discussions with... People from an economic background other than your own ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (DD)

## Lowest Performing Relative to Similar Institutions

Instructors provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (ET)
Reviewed your notes after class ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (LS)
Instructors clearly explained course goals and requirements ${ }^{c}$ (ET)
Institution emphasis on encouraging contact among students from different backgrounds... ${ }^{c}$ (SE)
Quality of interactions with other administrative staff and offices (... ${ }^{\text {d }}$ (QI)


Percentage Point Difference with Similar Institutions

[^0]
## How Students Assess Their Experience

Students' perceptions of their cognitive and affective development, as well as their overall satisfaction with the institution, provide useful evidence of their educational experiences. For more details, see your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons report.

## Perceived Gains Among Seniors

Students reported how much their experience at your institution contributed to their knowledge, skills, and personal development in ten areas.


## Satisfaction with TAMU-CC

Students rated their overall experience at the institution, and whether or not they would choose it again.



Percentage Who Would "Definitely" or
"Probably" Attend This Institution Again


## Administration Details

## Response Summary

|  | Count | Resp. rate | Female | Full-time |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| First-year | 240 | $10 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| Senior | 294 | $15 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

See your Administration Summary and Respondent Profile reports for more information.

## Additional Questions

Your institution administered the following additional question set(s): Academic Advising

See your Topical Module report(s) for results.

## What is NSSE?

NSSE annually collects information at hundreds of four-year colleges and universities about student participation in activities and programs that promote their learning and personal development. The results provide an estimate of how undergraduates spend their time and what they gain from attending their college or university. Institutions use their data to identify aspects of the undergraduate experience that can be improved through changes in policy and practice.

NSSE has been in operation since 2000 and has been used at more than 1,600 colleges and universities in the US and Canada. More than $90 \%$ of participating institutions administer the survey on a periodic basis.

Visit our website: nsse.indiana.edu


[^0]:    a. The displays on this page draw from the items that make up the ten Engagement Indicators (EIs), six High-Impact Practices (HIPs), and the additional academic challenge items reported on page 2. Key to abbreviations for EI items: HO = Higher-Order Learning, RI = Reflective \& Integrative Learning, LS = Learning Strategies, $\mathrm{QR}=\mathrm{Quantitative}$ Reasoning, $\mathrm{CL}=$ Collaborative Learning, $\mathrm{DD}=$ Discussions with Diverse Others, $\mathrm{SF}=$ Student-Faculty Interaction, $\mathrm{ET}=$ Effective Teaching Practices, $\mathrm{QI}=\mathrm{Quality}$ of Interactions, $\mathrm{SE}=$ Supportive Environment. HIP items are also indicated. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your Institutional Report and available on the NSSE website.
    b. Combination of students responding "Very often" or "Often."
    c. Combination of students responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit."
    d. Rated at least 6 on a 7 -point scale.
    e. Percentage reporting at least "Some."
    f. Estimate based on the reported amount of course preparation time spent on assigned reading.
    g. Estimate based on number of assigned writing tasks of various lengths.

